

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/618,062	8,062 07/11/2003		John R. Stowell	PD-02W150	4327		
23915	7590	10/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		ADMINISTRATIO	PARRIES	PARRIES, DRU M			
RAYTHEO P.O. BOX		MS COMPANY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
BLDG E1	•	,	2836				
EL SEGUN	NDO, CA	90245-0902	DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/618,062	STOWELL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dru M. Parries	2836		

	Did W. Pairies	2030	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection filed after a filed afte	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);	
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · · · · ·	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant / menament	(1 102 024).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ul>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	in or the status of the claims after t	entry is below or attac	neu.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.			
		DDIAN OF	NIO.
		BRIAN SIR( SUPERVISORY PATEN	- <del>-</del>

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations discussing the differences between the freewheeling and steering diodes raise new issues, along with the discussion of transformer windings controlling the switching elements, therefore these amendments would require further search from the Examiner. Also, the Applicant's arguments are most since they are all regarding the newly amended claims, that will not be entered.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Change of Address form is not effective because this document was not signed by an attorney of record in this case. Also, the Revocation and Power of Attorney is not effective because it wasn't signed by an authorized officer of the Assignee's company.